


World Health Organisation ignores all Fukushima and Chernobyl children – Geneva April 26th 2011

April 16, 2011 by Mikkai



quote from « independentwho.info »

The UN is divided into 7 organisations, of which two are of interest to us, the **Economic and Social Council** and the **Security Council**. The "Economic and Social Council" oversees ALL the United Nations agencies with the exception of the "**IAEA**".

In fact, the IAEA is the only agency that reports directly to the "Security Council" which is made up of representatives of 15 countries, of which 5 are permanent members of the Council: the United States, the United Kingdom, the Russian Federation, China and France.

These 5 nations are all nuclear powers, both civil and military, and almost all are exporters of nuclear technology. The 10 remaining members (or countries) have a mandate which lasts for 2 years.

The influence of these 5 permanent members of the Security Council on policy making within the IAEA is enormous and ongoing. With no counterbalancing power, it is almost impossible to claim that the IAEA has an objective view of the nuclear industry and the consequences of its use.

On 28th May 1959, the IAEA (not yet two years old!) and WHO signed an agreement referred to as "WHA 12-40" which, though it might, on paper, appear balanced and reciprocal, in practice, puts WHO in a subordinate position to the IAEA.

From this day on all information policy by the media, the ministeries, the universites and commissions is directly affected by that. We've seen it happening with Chernobyl and now during Fukushima.



Take immediate action against this scandal, go on http://bag-tschernobyl.net/projekte/263-26-april-2011-25-years-chernobyl.html come to Geneva on April 26th 2011!

The actions of the responsible Secretaries and Directors General of International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), the World Health Organization (WHO), the responsible operating company « TEPCO » and regulators after the reactor accident of Fukushima is a case for such a International Criminal Court: The IAEA, WHO and TEPCO should be a case for the International Criminal Courts

The definition of an accident is similar to what is known in Harvard as "strategic misinterpretation". Quote of IAEA and OCDE: ""In consequence, the Chernobyl accident will be not considered as a significant accident." SOURCE Read here:Fukushima and Three Mile Island USA: strategic misinterpretation by the IAEA

Latency of Cesium 137: 20 – 25 years mature people / 4 years children (Chernobyl happens grows today, illness, death, cancer increase and not decrease, as WHO and IAEA say): Japanese officals and WHO ignore irradiated Japanese Children.

By the ALARA principle you have to prove that the money you are going to spent to lower the radiation exposure does advance peoples health. This was told the IAEA by the ICRP and so the IAEA told this all atomic agencies in the world. IAEA and ICRP – Licence to Kill – ALARA principle

Tell the people, that **Fukushima** is **not** as bad as **Chernobyl**, and, Chernobyl was a **small accident**: **Fukushima**: **The IAEA strategy**

If the people of **Tokio** would be exposed a radiation dose of 0.1 Sv (100 mSv): In the coming years **235,000 cancer** cases would be created. 400 mSv could create **over a million** cases: **Japan: Additional 252,500 Cancer Cases and Risk for pregnant women**

After the accident has technically ended begins the social accident, begins the humanitarian accident, begins the health accident – like in Chernobyl: 25 Years with FUKUSHIMA

Le Monde, August 28th 1986: "Because of the importance of this energy, the world could endure each year an accident in the order of Chernobyl..." – Hans Blix – former IAEA director: Atomic Industry – Licence to Kill

In May 2008, the belarusian Government struck off 1000 villages and communities from the list of contaminated areas:CORE – a Chernobyl aid program that kills